## **ATTACHMENT 2**

1		
2	Kenneth A. Gallo ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
3	Joseph J. Simons (pro hac vice) Craig A. Benson (pro hac vice)	
4	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP 2001 K Street, NW	
5	Washington, DC 20006-1047 Telephone: (202) 223-7300	
6	Facsimile: (202) 223-7420 Email: kgallo@paulweiss.com	
7	Email: jsimons@paulweiss.com	
8	Email: cbenson@paulweiss.com	
9	Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452) Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)	
10	TAYLOR & COMPANY LAW OFFICES, LLP One Ferry Building, Suite 355	
11	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 788-8200	
12	Facsimile: (415) 788-8208 Email: staylor@tcolaw.com	
13	Email: jpatchen@tcolaw.com	
14	Attorneys for Sharp Electronics Corporation and	
15	Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of Americ	ca, Inc.
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17	In Re CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)	Case No. 07-cv-5944 SC
18	ANTITRUST LITIGATION	MDL No. 1917
19	This Document Relates to:	DECLARATION OF FUMIHIRO
20		YAMAZOE IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
21	Sharp Electronics Corporation, Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America, Inc. v. Hitachi,	TOSHIBA DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
22	Ltd. et al., Case No. 13-cv-1173 SC.	Date: December 20, 2013
23		Time: 10:00 a.m. Judge: Hon. Samuel Conti
24		Juige. How. Sumuel Colle
25		
26		
27		
28		

I, Fumihiro Yamazoe, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. My name is Fumihiro Yamazoe and I am the head of the procurement department for Sharp Corporation ("Sharp"), a Japanese corporation. I have worked in the procurement department since 1980. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein.
- 2. The Basic Transaction Agreement was signed in 1977, and renewed yearly through 2007.
- 3. Sharp intended for the BTA to apply only between itself and Toshiba Corporation. It was intended to apply only between Sharp and Toshiba Corporation and only in Japan. Sharp did not intend for the BTA to apply to its global subsidiaries.
- 4. The BTA is between Party A, Sharp Corporation, and Party B, Tokyo Shibaura Electric Corporation (now Toshiba). Neither party's subsidiaries are signatories, nor are they mentioned.
- 5. The BTA is a standard form agreement Sharp uses for transactions in Japan. The BTA is the basic agreement for Japanese, domestically produced materials and research materials transactions. Sharp Corporation's contracting policies state that for a domestic transaction (i.e., one in Japan), the BTA format is used. In contrast, other forms are specified for international agreements.
- 6. Another policy at Sharp is to draft agreements between Japanese corporations in Japanese. In contrast, when drafting agreements that apply to entities in non-Japanese speaking countries, the agreements are written in English.
- 7. Among other things, Sharp Corporation did not intend to restrict its global subsidiaries from bringing lawsuits in their respective jurisdictions for injuries suffered in those jurisdictions by signing the 1977 BTA. Specifically as it applies here, Sharp did not intend to restrict Sharp Electronics Corporation and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of America from bringing lawsuits in the United States.

- 2 -

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of November, 2013 in Osaka, Japan. Fumihiro Yamazoe